

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/665,280	09/18/2003	Arihiro Takeda	1117.68338	5624
7590 12/29/2004		EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			DUONG, THOI V	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 South Wacker Drive			2871	
Chicago, IL 60	0606	DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

24					Ale			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/665,2	80	TAKEDA ET AL.				
		Examine	f	Art Unit				
		Thoi V Du	<del></del>	2871				
The MAII Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communi	ication appears on th	e cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	idress			
THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time in after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for replication of the period of the period for replication of the period for repli	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI- may be available under the provisions. HS from the mailing date of this commely specified above is less than thirty (30 ly is specified above, the maximum station the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months a adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the app	rent, however, may a tutory minimum of th vill expire StX (6) MC olication to become A	i reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered time  NTHS from the mailing date of this of the considered time (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1)⊠ Responsi	ve to communication(s) file	d on <u>18 October 200</u>	<u>)4</u> .		•			
2a) ☐ This actio	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in	accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Qi</i>	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Cla	ims							
4) Claim(s)	☑ Claim(s) <u>22-26</u> istare pending in the application.							
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)☐ Claim(s) ַ	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>i</u>	Claim(s) <u>22-26</u> js/are rejected.							
	is/are objected to.							
8)∐ Claim(s) <sub>.</sub>	are subject to restric	tion and/or election i	requirement.					
Application Paper	s ·							
9) <mark>⊡ The speci</mark> t	fication is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)∏ The drawi	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
- •	may not request that any object							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
11) The oath o	or declaration is objected to	b by the Examiner. N	ote the attache	ed Office Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119			· ,				
a)⊠ All b) 1.□ Ce 2.⊠ Ce	dgment is made of a claim  Some * c)  None of:  rtified copies of the priority  rtified copies of the priority  pies of the certified copies	documents have be	en received. en received in	Application No. <u>10/047,21</u>				
	olication from the Internatio							
• •	ached detailed Office actio	•	• • •	ot received.				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Referen	ices Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (P	OTO 049\	• —	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the Response A filed October 18, 2004.

Claims 1-21 and 27-33 were cancelled and claims 22-26 are currently pending in this application.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koma (USPN 6,229,589 B1) in view of Song et al. (USPN 6,657,695 B1).

Re claim 22, as shown in Figs. 3 and 4, Koma discloses a liquid crystal display device comprising:

- a first substrate 10 having thereon a pixel electrode 19 and an active element (col. 4, lines 30-59);
  - a second substrate 30 having thereon an opposed electrode 31; and
- a liquid crystal layer 40 interposed between said first and second substrates with said electrodes facing each other,

Application/Control Number: 10/665,280

Art Unit: 2871

j

wherein an orientation control window 50 (a second orientation control element) extending in a parallel direction relative to an extending direction of a vertical edge of said pixel electrode is provided on the second substrate 30, and

at least a part of liquid crystal molecules said liquid crystal layer of said second orientation control element are oriented in a vertical direction relative to said substrate when voltage is being applied between said pixel and opposed electrodes (Fig. 4 and col. 5, lines 11-15).

Re claim 23, said pixel electrode 19 does not exist on at least a part of a place on said first substrate 10 opposed to said second orientation control element since the top of the Y-shape of the orientation control window 50 is formed outside the pixel electrode 19 as shown in Fig. 3.

Re claim 26, Koma discloses that a dielectric anisotropy of said liquid crystal molecules of said liquid crystal layer is negative (col. 2, lines 47-20). a first orientation control element, upper and lower portions of Y-shape of 32a, 32b and 32c, extending in a nonparallel direction relative to an extending direction a vertical edge of said pixel electrode is provided and

Koma et al. discloses a liquid crystal display device that is basically the same as that recited in claim 22 except for the first orientation control element extending in a nonparallel direction relative to an extending direction of the vertical edge of the pixel electrode.

As shown in Figs. 5-7, re claims 24 and 25, Song discloses protrusions 15 and slits 3 (first orientation control element) formed in a pixel electrode 16 in an oblique

Art Unit: 2871

direction relative to a vertical edge of the pixel electrode to compensate the optical characteristics of the liquid crystal molecules at the two side regions of either of the

protrusion pattern 15 and the slit 3 (col. 6, lines 30-38).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the liquid crystal display device of Koma with the teaching of Song et al. by forming the first orientation control element (a protrusion or a slit) extending in a nonparallel direction relative to an extending direction of the vertical edge of the pixel electrode so as to compensate the optical characteristics of the liquid crystal molecules, and hence to obtain a wide viewing angle (col. 6, lines 36-38).

#### **Conclusion**

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-2293.

Thoi Duong

12/18/2004

IR R. CHOWDHURY PRIMARY EXAMINER